

## **EFFECT OF DIFFERENT FERTILIZER BRIQUETTES AND ORGANIC MANURES ON YIELD, NUTRIENTS UPTAKE AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL IN CHILLI (*CAPSICUM ANNUUM L.*) IN LATERITIC SOILS OF KONKAN**

**V. G. KOKARE, M. C KASTURE, V. N PALSANDE & R. M. MHALSHI**

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan

Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

### **ABSTRACT**

A field study was carried out on a lateritic soils of Konkan for year (2010-2011) to study the effect of different fertilizer briquettes and organic manures on yield, nutrient uptake and chemical properties of soil in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*) in lateritic soils of Konkan. It is observed that the application of Urea-Godavari briquettes (3 briquettes per plant) first at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT was found significantly superior over rest of all the treatments in respect of yield. Application of Urea-Godavari briquettes first at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT recorded higher total N and P uptake while application of Urea-Suphala briquettes first at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT recorded higher total K uptake. The available nutrient status (N, P and K) in soil after harvest was found to be improved due to application of all three types of briquettes as compared to RDF and RDF based on soil test.

**KEYWORDS:** Chilli, Fertilizer Briquettes, Yield, Available Nutrients and Uptake

### **INTRODUCTION**

Scientific and efficient use of fertilizers is important for sustainable agriculture. Chilli requires heavy supply of plant nutrients especially N, P and K fertilizers for ensuring good plant growth and giving higher yield. The fertilizers are powerful crop management tools and can make effective contribution to crop production only when all other production factors are in reasonable balance and receive appropriate attention. Number of investigators has shown that there is a definite and nearly constant requirement of NPK for production of high yielding varieties of crop. The efficiency of added N is about 50 per cent or less for P is about 20 per cent or for K is about 60 per cent (Balligar and Bennett, 1986). The low use efficiency of N and P is because of various reasons such as volatilization, denitrification, surface runoff, leaching losses and ammonia fixation in soil for phosphorus. More or less similar situation exists in case of potassium. There it would be better if all the three major plant nutrients are used in the briquette form. FYM, Poultry Manure and Vermicompost act not only as source of nutrient but also influence availability of native nutrients. In the absences of fertilizers, crop depends entirely on the mineralization of organically bound nutrients. Organic manures increase yield of crop since they supply almost all the nutrient in balanced quantities and also prevent loss of nutrients. As they are enriched with N, P and K, they supply more plant nutrients. The information regarding the effect of deep placement of briquettes containing N, P and K i.e. Urea-DAP, Urea- Godavari and SSP-Suphala briquettes and organic manures on yield response, Available nutrient status and uptake of nutrients is not available. Keeping these points in view, a field experiment is decided to undertaken.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2011 in randomized block design comprising of twelve treatments with three replicate. Treatment are  $T_1$  (control),  $T_2$  (RDF),  $T_3$  (Urea-DAP briquettes first two at transplanting and second one at 30 DAT),  $T_4$  (Urea-DAP briquettes First at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT),  $T_5$  (Urea-Godavari briquettes first two at transplanting and second one at 30 DAT),  $T_6$  (Urea-Godavari briquettes First at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT),  $T_7$  (Urea-Suphala briquettes first two at transplanting and second one at 30 DAT),  $T_8$  (Urea-Suphala briquettes First at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT),  $T_9$  (RDF based on soil test),  $T_{10}$  (FYM N based),  $T_{11}$  (Vermicompost N based) and  $T_{12}$  (Poultry manure N based). Application of briquettes were takes place at 7 to 10 cm deep mannuly in respective treatments. In case of treatment  $T_2$  and  $T_9$  application of Nitrogen ( $150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) in two split doses first dose 1/2 was applied at transplanting and second 1/2 dose at transplanting while Phosphorous and potassium ( $50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) each were applied in single dose at the time of transplanting. Similarly treatments  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{11}$  receiving FYM, Vermicompost and Poultry manure was applied at the time of transplanting on Nitrogen basis only. Soil of experimental field was clay loam in texture, strongly acidic in reaction, show low electrical conductivity and available N and medium  $P_2O_5$  and high  $K_2O$ .

The nutrient content in soil sample was determined by following the standard producers. Soil sample were analysis for available N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$ . The treatment wise soil samples were collected, air dried and sieved through 2 mm sieve. The soils sample were analyzed for its chemical properties by employing the methods, pH and EC (Jackson, 1973), organic carbon (Black, 1965), available nutrients viz. N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  method given by (Subbiah and Asija 1956), (Brays and Kurtz 1945) and (Jackson, 1973). The data were subjected to statistical analysis following Panse and Sukhatme (2000).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The finding of the present study as well as relevant discussion have been presented under following heads and in table 1, 2, 3 and 4.

### Effect on Yield of Chilli ( $\text{q ha}^{-1}$ )

A glance look of table 1 revealed that the application of different fertilizers briquettes and organic manures has significantly influenced the yield of green chilli and stover over control. The highest green pod ( $124.20 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ) and dry pod ( $12.95 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ) yield of chilli was recorded in the treatment  $T_6$  receiving Urea-Godavari briquettes first at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT ( $124.2 \text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ), which was found significantly superior over rest of all the treatments, therefore signifying the role of Urea-Godavari briquettes in better nutrition of chilli crop. While, the stover yield of chilli was found to be a non-significant. From the results of green, dry pod and stover yield of chilli, it is inferred that the crop of chilli responded well to Urea-Godavari briquette. The interaction between lateritic soil and Urea-Godavari briquette was better than that of Urea-DAP and Urea-Suphala briquette. This might be due to better extraction of nutrients from the soil treated with Urea-Godavari briquette. The deep placement of these briquette resulted into lower losses of nutrient owing to low fixation, low leaching and less loss through runoff followed by the better retention and release of macro as well as micro nutrients. The briquette form of fertilizer recorded maximum yield of tomato than non-briquette form, reported by Kadam *et al.* (2005) and Talpade *et al.* (2011).

### Effect on Uptake of Nutrients by Chilli

The data pertaining to the uptake of nutrients by chilli pod, stover and total nutrient uptake by chilli crop as influenced by different treatments i.e. application of different fertilizers and organic manures on chilli are presented in Table 1.

It was observed from the data that the uptake of nitrogen in the stover was increased from 6.70 to 18.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> due to effect of various treatments but it was found statistically non-significant. The significantly higher uptake of nitrogen by the pod (18.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and total uptake (36.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in chilli crop were recorded with treatment T<sub>6</sub> and which was significantly superior over control. Significant increase in total nitrogen uptake by Chilli with application of recommended dose of fertilizer, application of organic manures as well as briquettes over control may be due to continuous availability of nitrogen as well as increase in the yield by application of different treatments (Kasture, 2001). From the perusal of the data on the maximum P uptake in stover (2.08 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), pod (2.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and total uptake (4.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in chilli crop were recorded in the treatment T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>, respectively and which was significantly superior over control. The increased uptake of P might be ascribe to more availability of nutrients from added fertilizers and to the solubility action of organic acids produced during the degradation of organic materials. Bagal (2009) showed increase in phosphorus uptake due to deep placement of briquettes containing NPK in different levels. Whereas the significantly highest uptake of K by stover (36.38 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded to the tune of in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> and which was found at par with T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. Application of Urea-Godavari and Urea-Suphala briquettes first briquette at transplanting, second at 30 DAT and third at 60 DAT (T<sub>6</sub>) significantly increased K uptake in pod (19.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and total uptake (50.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in chilli. The briquette form of fertilizers recorded significantly higher K uptake by fruits of tomato than non-briquette form which was opined by Kadam (2002).

### Effect on Chemical Properties of Soil

The maximum pH (5.68, 5.98, 5.92 and 5.68) at all stages was recorded by T<sub>12</sub> treatment i.e. application of poultry manure (Table 2). It might be due to the Ca present in the poultry manure as well as other complex materials including high amount of organic matter which helps to increase the pH of soil. Das et al. (1991) recorded that decreasing trend of soil pH towards neutrality with increase in doses of poultry manure on an acid Alfisols. There was no significant change in E.C. values due to the application of RDF, FYM, vermicompost, poultry manure and different types of briquettes through the trial. The organic carbon content was decreased with application of inorganic fertilizers. The significantly highest values of organic carbon were observed in T<sub>12</sub> (17.67 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), (19.63 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), (18.47 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) and (19.97 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) at 30, 60, 90 and after harvest stage, respectively. Singh et al. (2006) concluded that application of chemical fertilizers decreased the organic carbon content due to deterioration of soil structure.

### Effect on Available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O

The perusal of data revealed that all the treatments showed significant increase in available N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> status of soil over absolute control at all the stages of crop growth (table 3). The significantly highest available N (319.87, 331.29, 311.61 and 290.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (18.64, 15.72, 15.54 and 13.71 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed in the treatment (T<sub>3</sub>). An increase in available phosphorus with the application of briquettes to rice crop was reported by Pillai (2004) and Bulbule et al. (2008). Seshadri Reddy (2005) reported the positive role of application of organic manure and NPK in increasing available phosphorus content of soil. It is observed from the data that the available K<sub>2</sub>O content at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at

harvest ranged from 217.26 to 448.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 248.69 to 469.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 241.46 to 447.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 203.45 to 407.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively (Table 3). The available potassium content observed in soil was significantly higher in treatment T<sub>7</sub> which were significantly superior over rest of all treatments at all stages. At harvest, of chilli available potassium content of soil decreased as compared to the 60 and 90 DAT stages. This might be due to the utilization of potassium by chilli plant. Agate (1997) also observed depletion of potash in lateritic soil even after application of potassium fertilizers.

## CONCLUSIONS

The results showed that application of UB-Godavari, UB-DAP and UB-Suphala forms of briquettes are promising source of NPK fertilizers as compared to straight fertilizers and organic manures for enhancing green chilli pod yield as well as nutrient uptake and soil properties of lateritic soil. Amongst the three types of briquettes, Urea-Godavari was found to be superior for increasing green chilli yield applied @ 3 briquettes per plant at an interval of 30 day for three times i.e. first briquettes at transplanting, second at 30 days after transplanting and third at 60 days after transplanting. While, higher uptake and available nutrient status of soil in respect to N, P and K found to be improved due to incorporation of briquettes.

## REFERENCES

1. Agate, G. N, 1997. Effect of application of phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and zinc in presence and absence of FYM on yield and nutrient uptake by rice and cowpea on lateritic soil. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis Dr. B. S. K. K. V., Dapoli. India (M. S).
2. Bagal, M. D, 2009. Efficacy of formulations of briquettes containing nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and UB-DAP on yield, nutrient uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa*) and on soil properties. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis Dr. B. S. K. K. V., Dapoli. India (M. S).
3. Balliigar, V. S. and O. U. Bennet, 1986. Outlook of fertilizer use efficiency in the tropics. *Fertilizer Research*, **10** (1): 83-96.
4. Black, C. A, 1965. Method of Soil Analysis Part-II. Am. Agron. Inc. Madison Wisconsin, U.S.A. pp. 1040-41, 1374-75.
5. Bray, R. H. and L. T. Kurtz, 1945. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Sci.*, **59**: 39-45.
6. Das, M., V. P. Singh., M. Ram., B. S. Dwivedi and R. N. Prasad, 1991. Influence of organic manure on native plant nutrient availability in an acid Alfisols. *J. Indian Soc. Soil. Sci.*, **89**(2): 286-291.
7. Jackson, M. L, 1973. *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, India.
8. Kadam, J. R, 2002. Effect of N: P: K briquettes on availability of N: P: K in soil and yield and quality of tomato. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri., India (M. S).
9. Kadam, J. R., J. S. Sahane and S. M. Kareppta, 2005. Effect of NPK Briquette on movement and availability of nutrients and yield of Tomato. *J. of Maha. Agri. Uni.*, **30**(2):131-134.
10. Kasture, M. C, 2001. Effect of phosphorous and sulphur on yield and uptake of nutrient by chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) on lateritic soils of Konkan. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis submitted to Dr. B. S. K. K. V., Dapoli., India

(M.S.).

11. Panse, V. G. and P. V. Sukhatme, 2000. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICA R, New Delhi, India.
12. Pillai, M. G, 2004. Comparative study on the effect of glyricidia incorporation, broadcast of fertilizers and deep placement of UB-DAP on yield, nutrient use efficiency and nutrient recovery of Sahyadri hybrid rice. Thesis submitted to Dr. B. S. K. K. V, Dapoli., India (M.S.).
13. Seshadri Reddy, S. 2005. Effect of different organic manures on available NPK status and organic carbon after harvest of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Crop Res.*, **30**(1): 26-29.
14. Singh, K., R. Singh., J. P. Singh., Y. Singh and K. K. Singh, 2006. Effect of level and time of silicon application on growth, yield and it's uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian J. Agri. Sci.*, **76**: 410-413.
15. Subbiah B. V. and G. L. Asija, 1956. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available Nitrogen in *Soil. Curr. Sci.*, **25**(8): 259-260.
16. Talpade, N. R., P. P. Shinde and Y. H. Nangale, 2011. Response of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) to fertigation and poultry manure levels grown under black polythene mulch. *J. Agri. Res. Tech.*, **36** (3): 355-358.

## APPENDICES

**Table 1: Effect of Different Fertilizers, Fertilizers Briquettes and Organic Manures on Yield and Total Uptake of N, P and K**

Treatment No.	Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Green Pod	Dry Pod	Stover	Stover	Pod	Total	Stover	Pod	Total	Stover	Pod	Total
T <sub>1</sub>	82.83	8.60	7.04	6.70	10.09	16.79	0.67	1.89	2.56	9.65	11.07	20.72
T <sub>2</sub>	97.47	9.24	10.05	16.74	11.90	28.64	1.10	2.49	3.60	25.04	11.58	36.63
T <sub>3</sub>	91.90	8.77	9.12	11.43	13.15	24.58	2.08	2.19	4.27	21.20	10.99	32.19
T <sub>4</sub>	85.63	8.80	9.31	11.52	11.36	22.88	1.48	2.14	3.62	19.99	11.37	31.36
T <sub>5</sub>	103.73	9.72	11.06	16.69	14.84	31.53	1.84	2.37	4.21	29.68	12.34	42.02
T <sub>6</sub>	124.20	12.95	12.85	18.13	18.83	36.96	1.65	2.88	4.53	31.11	19.01	50.12
T <sub>7</sub>	105.93	10.19	11.30	16.41	15.94	32.35	1.98	2.46	4.44	36.38	12.93	49.31
T <sub>8</sub>	109.33	11.16	12.27	15.58	16.41	31.99	2.04	2.56	4.60	30.75	16.46	47.21
T <sub>9</sub>	98.63	9.30	10.47	17.17	12.82	30.00	1.31	2.51	3.82	26.67	12.11	38.78
T <sub>10</sub>	105.53	10.04	11.20	11.64	13.31	24.95	1.06	2.41	3.47	22.47	14.29	36.76
T <sub>11</sub>	107.07	10.88	11.53	11.70	14.26	25.96	1.05	2.63	3.69	19.46	14.65	34.12
T <sub>12</sub>	98.37	9.30	10.74	11.85	12.98	24.83	1.33	2.54	3.87	22.82	12.98	35.80
S.E. ±	1.97	0.23	1.77	2.08	0.49	2.30	0.22	0.12	0.28	4.58	0.60	4.63
C.D (P=0.05)	5.78	0.67	N.S.	N. S.	1.43	6.74	0.66	0.35	0.83	13.44	1.77	13.57

**Table 2: Effect of Different Fertilizer Briquettes and Organic Manures on Ph, Electrical Conductivity and Organic Carbon of Soil**

Treatment No.	Soil pH (1:2.5)				EC of Soil (1:2.5)				Organic Carbon (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH
T <sub>1</sub>	5.43	5.44	5.53	5.38	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	14.20	16.67	13.90	15.47
T <sub>2</sub>	5.63	5.76	5.64	5.26	0.26	0.22	0.11	0.41	15.20	17.90	13.77	15.20
T <sub>3</sub>	5.61	5.63	5.67	5.45	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.17	15.73	18.43	15.47	16.27
T <sub>4</sub>	5.62	5.69	5.61	5.40	0.21	0.15	0.19	0.19	15.60	16.68	15.47	17.67
T <sub>5</sub>	5.63	5.66	5.61	5.47	0.22	0.20	0.14	0.16	15.07	18.17	14.80	18.70
T <sub>6</sub>	5.45	5.53	5.70	5.39	0.16	0.21	0.11	0.18	14.80	16.93	14.80	19.37
T <sub>7</sub>	5.54	5.61	5.54	5.39	0.14	0.18	0.16	0.22	14.57	16.68	15.10	17.87
T <sub>8</sub>	5.47	5.62	5.67	5.46	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.21	14.67	18.03	16.13	18.20
T <sub>9</sub>	5.46	5.61	5.60	5.51	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.15	15.07	17.33	16.13	16.63
T <sub>10</sub>	5.67	5.87	5.71	5.64	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.12	17.30	18.83	18.10	19.37
T <sub>11</sub>	5.66	5.86	5.69	5.63	0.18	0.15	0.11	0.15	16.67	18.43	17.07	18.83
T <sub>12</sub>	5.68	5.98	5.92	5.68	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.16	17.67	19.63	18.47	19.97
S.E. ±	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.38	0.27	0.59	0.65
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.11	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.11	0.78	1.74	1.91

**Table 3: Effect of Different Fertilizer Briquettes and Organic Manures on Available Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium in Soil**

Treatment No.	Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	AH
T <sub>1</sub>	275.97	257.15	252.33	212.68	7.31	6.40	5.85	5.48	217.26	248.69	241.46	203.45
T <sub>2</sub>	296.13	289.55	273.60	268.65	10.97	8.95	8.22	6.94	323.89	352.89	343.65	281.06
T <sub>3</sub>	319.87	331.29	311.61	290.60	18.64	15.72	15.54	13.71	292.62	301.10	280.30	263.62
T <sub>4</sub>	315.37	327.63	309.88	283.50	12.98	10.78	11.70	10.78	278.54	290.33	270.95	257.76
T <sub>5</sub>	310.53	305.23	301.05	269.86	14.26	12.24	9.70	11.15	421.10	450.15	403.89	278.30
T <sub>6</sub>	306.19	300.01	296.87	269.69	10.42	10.59	10.14	7.86	296.11	328.81	303.06	280.55
T <sub>7</sub>	312.62	330.41	308.55	274.02	17.17	12.98	11.88	10.23	448.43	469.20	447.40	407.22
T <sub>8</sub>	311.42	309.41	303.35	270.74	13.34	11.33	12.24	11.15	301.04	332.74	317.00	281.06
T <sub>9</sub>	297.90	293.73	288.51	269.02	11.15	8.95	8.95	9.14	372.45	399.26	367.18	309.72
T <sub>10</sub>	295.17	270.74	271.17	255.20	8.04	7.13	6.76	6.21	267.07	380.86	365.91	246.89
T <sub>11</sub>	296.13	281.19	274.92	259.24	9.69	8.95	8.77	8.04	220.48	252.77	262.92	246.75
T <sub>12</sub>	296.13	287.46	288.51	267.13	12.79	13.89	12.25	11.51	217.26	282.40	266.89	249.00
S.E. ±	<b>3.10</b>	<b>9.48</b>	<b>7.38</b>	<b>5.51</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>31.37</b>	<b>5.34</b>	<b>7.04</b>	<b>6.44</b>
C.D. (P=0.05)	<b>9.08</b>	<b>27.79</b>	<b>21.65</b>	<b>16.51</b>	<b>2.28</b>	<b>1.57</b>	<b>1.92</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>92.01</b>	<b>15.65</b>	<b>20.64</b>	<b>18.90</b>